# The Expansionary Lower Bound: Contractionary Monetary Easing and the Trilemma<sup>\*</sup>

Paolo Cavallino<sup>1</sup> Damiano Sandri<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Bank for International Settlements

<sup>2</sup>International Monetary Fund

Bank of Russia conference July 3, 2019

Cavallino and Sandri (BIS and IMF)

<sup>\*</sup>The views expressed in this presentation are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of the IMF, its Executive Board, or IMF management.

#### Introduction

- Can financially integrated economies retain monetary independence?
- Under Mundell's trilemma
  - yes, as long as the exchange rate is flexible
  - capital flows do not pose problems
- However, growing concerns by both academics and policy makers
  - Global financial conditions prevail over MP in EMs (Rey 2015, 2016; Rajan, 2015; IMF 2012)
  - MP in EMs undermined by carry-trade flows (Blanchard et al., 2016)

# **Empirical evidence**

VARIABLES	(1) Ouerterly	(2) Ouerterly	(3) Ouerterly	(4) Monthly
VARIABLES	Quarterly	Quarterly	Quarterly	Monthly
Expected inflation	1.13***	1.07***	1.02***	0.93***
	(0.07)	(0.07)	(0.06)	(0.04)
Output gap	0.14**	0.20***	-0.00	. ,
	(0.07)	(0.07)	(0.05)	
VIX	· · ·	0.06***	0.05***	0.05***
		(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)
U.S. policy rate		( )	0.66***	0.64***
. ,			(0.04)	(0.02)
Constant	2.48***	1.70***	1.46***	1.96***
	(0.44)	(0.48)	(0.38)	(0.23)
Observations	543	543	543	1,555
R-squared	0.311	0.330	0.570	0.502
Number of countries	8	8	8	8
Standard errors in parentheses				
*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1				

#### Our contribution

• Theory of interaction between

monetary policy  $\longleftrightarrow$  capital flows  $\longleftrightarrow$  collateral constraints

- Key implication is existence of Expansionary Lower Bound (ELB)
   ⇒ Interest rate below which further easing becomes contractionary
- ELB can be positive
  - $\Rightarrow$  tighter constraint for MP than ZLB
- ELB determines major departures from Trilemma
  - $\Rightarrow$  Global liquidity and monetary shocks can destabilize EMs...
  - $\Rightarrow$  ... even with flexible exchange rates

## Outline

- Two small-open-economy models in which ELB may arise due to
  - Carry-trade capital flows
  - Currency mismatches
- Three period analysis
  - Steady state from period 2 onward
  - Period 1 to characterize conditions under which ELB arises
  - Period 0 to analyze ex-ante implications
- Alternative policy tools to relax ELB
  - Fiscal policy
  - Balance-sheet operations by the central bank
  - Forward guidance
  - Capital controls, macroprudential policy

#### Literature Review

- Global financial cycle and monetary spillovers: Rey (2015, 2016); Rajan (2015); Bernanke (2015); Obstfeld (2015); Blanchard et a. (2016); Bruno and Shin (2015, 2017); Baskaya et al. (2017); Avdjiev and Hale (2017)
- Currency mismatches and crises: Krugman (1999); Aghion, Bacchetta and Banerjee (2000, 2001); Cespedes, Chang and Velasco (2004); Christiano, Gust and Roldos (2004)
- Monetary policy and borrowing constraints: Ottonello (2015); Farhi and Werning (2016); Brunnermeier and Koby (2017); Eggertsson et al. (2017)
- Monetary policy with incomplete markets and heterogeneous agents: Werning (2015); Auclert (2016); Gornemann, Kuester and Nakajima (2016); Kaplan, Moll and Violante (2016); McKay, Nakamura and Steinsson (2016); Guerrieri and Lorenzoni (2016)

## Model with carry-trader capital flows

• Household sector includes borrowers and savers that maximize

$$\mathbb{E}_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \ln C_t^i$$

with  $C_t = C_{H,t}^{1-\alpha} C_{F,t}^{\alpha}$  subject to the following budget constraints

$$P_t C_t^B + L_{t-1} I_{t-1}^L = \Pi_t^B + L_t P_t C_t^S + D_t = \Pi_t^S + D_{t-1} I_{t-1}^D$$

• Firms hire workers to produce domestic goods subject to sticky prices

$$P_{H,t} = P_{H,t}^* = 1$$
 for  $t < 2$ 

#### Domestic banks

• Banks collect deposits to provide loans and buy government bonds



They act competitively to maximize networth

$$N_{t+1} = L_t I_t^L + B_t I_t^B + R_t I_t - D_t I_t^D$$

subject to the leverage constraint

$$L_t + \lambda B_t \le \phi N_t$$

with  $\phi > 1$  and  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ 

#### Interest rates

No arbitrage between reserves and deposits implies

 $I_t^D = I_t$ 

• Lending and bond rates increase above policy rate if constraint binds

$$I_t^L \geq I_t$$
  

$$I_t^B = \lambda I_t^L + (1 - \lambda) I_t$$

## Foreign investors

- Foreign intermediaries borrow internationally to buy domestic bonds
  - They are subject to an agency friction à la Gabaix Maggiori (2015)
  - Foreign demand for government bonds is proportional to excess return

$$B_t^F = \frac{1}{\gamma_t} \mathbb{E}_t \left[ \frac{e_t}{e_{t+1}} \frac{I_t^B}{I_t^*} - 1 \right]$$

- The parameter  $\gamma_t$  captures tightness of global financial conditions
- In equilibrium, the model generates carry trade capital flows
   ⇒ domestic monetary easing triggers capital outflows

## Public sector and market clearing

Ruling out fiscal policy, government simply rolls over public debt

$$B_t^G = B_{t-1}^G I_{t-1}^B$$

• We also rule out balance-sheet operations by the central bank

 $R_t \downarrow 0$ 

• Market clearing requires

$$Y_{H,t} = C_{H,t} + C_{H,t}^*$$
$$B_t^G = B_t + B_t^F$$

## Steady-state equilibrium

- From  $t \ge 2$ , model is in steady state
  - flexible prices, no domestic or international financial frictions

• 
$$I_t\beta = 1$$

- assume  $\beta=1 \Rightarrow P_2 C_2^i = \Pi_2^i$
- Nominal spending equal to money supply

$$P_2 C_2^i = M_2^i$$

• Using market clearing, exchange rate is

$$e_2 = M_2 / M_2^*$$

normalize 
$$M_2 = M_2^* = 1$$

## Time 1 equilibrium

• Time-1 output is determined by

$$Y_{H,1} = (1 - \alpha) \left( \frac{\omega_2}{I_1^L} + \frac{1 - \omega_2}{I_1} \right) + \frac{\alpha}{I_1^*}$$

- If leverage constraint does not bind,  $I_1^L = I_1$  $\Rightarrow$  monetary easing is expansionary
- However, monetary easing triggers capital outflows if  $\gamma_1>0$

$$e_1 = \frac{I_1^*}{I_1} \frac{1 + \gamma_1 \left( \mathbb{B}_1^F + \alpha/I_1 \right)}{1 + \gamma_1 \alpha/I_1}$$
$$B_1^F = \frac{\mathbb{B}_1^F}{1 + \gamma_1 \alpha/I_1}$$

where 
$$\mathbb{B}_1^F=B_0^FI_0^B$$

# The Expansionary Lower Bound

- By triggering outflows, MP easing moves banks towards constraint
- This effect is possibly compounded by stronger loan demand

$$L_1 = \mathbb{L}_1 + \frac{\omega_2}{I_1^L} - \Pi_1^B$$

ightarrow we turn off loan demand by setting  $\Pi_1^B=\omega_2/I_1$ 

• Leverage constraint binds once policy rate reaches ELB

$$I_1^{ELB} = \frac{\gamma_1 \alpha}{\mathbb{B}_1^F / \underline{B}_1^F - 1}$$

where  $\underline{B}_{1}^{F} = \mathbb{B}_{1}^{G} - \left(\phi N_{1} - \mathbb{L}_{1}\right) / \lambda$  is capital shortfall

## Constrained equilibrium

- Once constraint binds,  $I_1^L$  increases as outflows crowd out lending
- If carry-trade flows are strong enough, i.e.  $\gamma_1$  is high
  - $\Rightarrow$  Decline in borrowers' demand > increase in savers' demand
  - $\Rightarrow$  Monetary easing becomes contractionary
  - $\Rightarrow$  ELB places upper bound on output achievable through MP



# Global liquidity and monetary shocks

- A tightening of global financial conditions worsens the ELB
  - Lower global liquidity raises the ELB
  - Higher foreign policy rates reduce output at the ELB



 $\bullet\,$  Despite flexible exchange rate, MP unable to stabilize output  $\rightarrow\,$  Trilemma is violated

## Time 0 equilibrium

- How should MP behave in good times if ELB may bind in the future?
- ELB gives rise to novel intertemporal trade-off for MP
   → ELB is affected by ex-ante MP through impact of I<sub>0</sub> on

$$\frac{\mathbb{B}_{1}^{F}}{\underline{B}_{1}^{F}} = \frac{B_{0}^{F}}{B_{0}^{G} - \left(\phi N_{0} - L_{0}\right)/\lambda}$$

- Higher  $I_0$  reduces  $I_1^{ELB}$ 
  - $\Rightarrow$  keep economy below potential to gain future monetary space
  - $\Rightarrow$  MP becomes less effective at time 0

$$Y_{H,0} = \frac{1 - \alpha}{I_0 \mathbb{E}_0 [I_1]} + \frac{\alpha}{I_0^* I_1^*}$$

# Policy tools against the ELB - fiscal policy

- Fiscal consolidation with lump-sum taxes  $T_1$  has mixed effects on ELB
  - $\rightarrow$  It relaxes bank constraints...
  - $\rightarrow$  ...but increases loan demand by taxed borrowers
  - $\Rightarrow$  It lowers ELB only if  $\lambda > T_1^B/T_1$
- A recapitalization of the banking sector lowers the ELB  $\rightarrow$  even if financed with  $T_1^B$  since banks are leveraged  $\phi > 1$
- Subsidies on capital inflows lower the ELB
  - $\rightarrow$  despite increasing public debt

#### Policy tools against the ELB - central bank operations

• Balance sheet of the central bank is

$$N_t^{CB} + R_t = B_t^{CB} + e_t X_t$$

- Quantitative easing relaxes the ELB despite strengthening outflows
   → Central bank acts as financial intermediary
- Unsterilized FX intervention by buying FX relaxes ELB
  - $\rightarrow$  It reduces outflows by depreciating exchange rate
- Sterilized FX intervention by selling FX to buy bonds relaxes ELB
   → Positive effect of QE prevails over exchange rate appreciation
- Forward guidance ineffective against ELB ( $\neq$  ZLB)
  - $\rightarrow$  Higher  $M_2$  increases outflows and raises ELB

## Model with currency mismatches

- Homogeneous households, only borrowers
- Export prices are sticky in domestic currency
- UIP holds
- Banks borrow abroad in foreign currency
  - Exchange rate depreciation reduces networth

$$N_t = L_{t-1}I_{t-1}^L - \frac{e_t}{D_{t-1}^*}I_{t-1}^*$$

• Leverage constraint requires

$$L_1 \le \phi N_1$$

$$\Rightarrow I_{t+1}^L \ge I_t$$

## Time 1 equilibrium

• Time-1 output is determined by

$$Y_{H,1} = \frac{1 - \alpha}{I_1^L} + \frac{\alpha e_1}{I_1^*}$$

- If leverage constraint does not bind  $\Rightarrow$  expansionary effects
  - Banks increase leverage to expand credit  $\Rightarrow I_1^L$  declines
  - Exchange rate depreciation stimulates foreign demand
- Once constraint binds  $\Rightarrow$  contractionary effects if  $D_1^*$  high enough
  - Exchange rate depreciation tightens leverage constraint
  - Banks have to reduce lending  $\Rightarrow I_1^L$  increases

## Global monetary shock

• Under currency mismatches, the ELB is

$$I_1^{ELB} = I_1^* \frac{\phi \mathbb{D}_1^*}{(\phi - 1)\mathbb{L}_1}$$

- A foreign monetary tightening raises the ELB
  - $\Rightarrow$  possibly pushing EMs into recession, despite flexible exchange rates



## Time-0 equilibrium

• Domestic loans and foreign debt are equal to

$$\mathbb{L}_1 = \mathbb{L}_0 I_0 + \frac{\delta \alpha}{\mathbb{E}_0 [I_1]}$$
$$\mathbb{D}_1^* = \mathbb{D}_0^* I_0^* + \frac{\delta \alpha}{\mathbb{E}_0 [I_1^*]}$$

- As with carry traders, higher  $I_0$  reduces  $I_1^{ELB}$ 
  - Intertemporal trade-off for MP
  - Time-0 MP becomes less effective
- Novel aspect about US monetary policy
  - Ex-post, optimal to reduce  $I_1^*$  if ELB binds
  - $\bullet\,$  Ex-ante, expectation of lower  $I_1^*$  raises FX debt and increases ELB

# Policy tools against the ELB

- Recapitalization of banking sector lowers ELB
  - $\rightarrow$  They relax leverage constraint
- Subsidies to capital inflows effective to delink exchange rate from  $I_1$ 
  - $\rightarrow$  They appreciate  $e_1$
- Forward guidance ineffective
  - $\rightarrow$  Future monetary easing depreciates  $e_1$ , raising ELB
- FX intervention by the central bank is ineffective  $\rightarrow$  UIP holds
- Time-0 "prudential" capital controls lower time-1 ELB
   → They reduce foreign currency borrowing

## Conclusions

- Theory rationalizes concerns about loss of monetary independence
- Existence of Expansionary Lower Bound (ELB)
  - $\Rightarrow$  Interest rate below which further easing becomes contractionary
- Despite flexible exchange rates, MP unable to stabilize output
  - $\Rightarrow$  Departures from trilemma
- ELB generates novel intertemporal trade-off for MP...
- ...and calls for alternative policy tools